

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 5113 A34196 PCT USA-A 05/10/2001 Peter Schafer 09/853,014 EXAMINER 06/28/2004 7590 BUTLER, DOUGLAS C Andreas Grubert **Baker Botts** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT One Shell Plaza 3683 910 Louisiana St Houston, TX 77002-4995 DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- h
	09/853,014	SCHAFER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas C. Butler	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on €	0 <u>4/19/2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Figure 1;

Species B: Figure 2;

Species C: Figure 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/853,014 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant should note that the examiner reserves the option of requiring further restriction and/or election, in due course, pursuant to MPEP 821.03, MPEP 811, etc., based on applicants' response to this office action.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Claim 1, last line "breaking" should be changed to - braking --.

5. **COMMENT INTENDED TO ADVANCE PROSECUTION**

Applicants should review the claims such as 1, 8, 12 and 20, which are overly broad based upon the prior art. In all likelihood, broadening the scope of the claims such as has been done to claim 1 and continuing to amend the claims to include few details will not result in defining patentable subject matter. The phrase added to the last two lines of claim 1 ("if a brake pedal force is exerted by the operator it will result in an increasing breaking force") does not serve to positively define the step performed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas C. Butler whose telephone number is 703-308-2575. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30 am to 2pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Douglas C. Butler Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3683